

Probate cases on this calendar are currently under review by the probate examiners. Review of some probate cases may not be completed and therefore have not been posted.

If your probate case has not been posted please check back again later.

Thank you for your patience.

Helon, Marvin T. (for Ollie Dimery-Ratliff – Administrator/Petitioner)

(1) Second and Final Account and Report of Administrator and Petition for Its Settlement and (2) for Order for Payment of Claims and (3) for Allowance of Statutory Fees and Reimbursement of Costs and (4) for Final Distribution

DOD: 03/25/02	OLLIE DIMERY-RATLIFF, Administrator, is	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
555.00/25/02	Petitioner.	TTEEDS/TROBLETTIS/CONTRIBUTION
	1 Official.	
	Account period: 01/01/08 – 12/04/12	
Cont. from	· ,···························	
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Accounting - \$116,884.39	
	Beginning POH - \$90,000.00	
✓ Verified	Ending POH - \$57,319.68 (all	
✓ Inventory	cash)	
✓ PTC		
✓ Not.Cred.	Administrator - \$3,275.38	
✓ Notice of Hrg	(statutory)	
✓ Aff.Mail w/	Attornov (\$2,075,00	
Aff.Pub.	Attorney - \$3,275.38	
Sp.Ntc.	(statutory)	
Pers.Serv.	Costs - \$435.00 (filing	
Conf. Screen	fees)	
Letters 06/06/06	1003)	
Duties/Supp	Closing - \$5,000.00	
Objections	7 7,7 7,7 7,7	
Video Receipt	Distribution, pursuant to intestate succession	
CI Report	and subject to creditor's claims, is to:	
√ 9202		
✓ Order	Ollie Dimery-Ratliff - \$3,737.26	
Aff. Posting	Oscar E. Ransom - \$3,737.26	Reviewed by: JF
Status Rpt	Patricia Dimery - \$3,737.26	Reviewed on: 02/27/13
UCCJEA	Ruby Dimery-Levine - \$3,737.26	Updates:
Citation	Ollie Dimery-Ratliff - \$3,737.26 (as reimbursement from the Estate of Rosie	Recommendation: SUBMITTED
✓ FTB Notice	Dimery, on account of unpaid \$4,710.50 due	File 1 - Dimery
	Ollie Dimery-Ratliff from Rosie Dimery's estate.	
	Rosie Dimery's share of distribution of any	
	remaining closing reserve should be	
	disbtributed on account of the amount left	
	presently unpaid.)	
	Monica R. Buchannan- \$1,245.76	
	Erica D. Scott - \$713.11	
	(\$1,245.76 less claim from Victims	
	Compensation Board in the amount of	
	\$532.65)	
	Bryant M. Cummings- \$1,245.76	

3A Ally

Sanoian, Joanne (for Mark L. Clark – Father – Guardian – Petitioner)

(1) First Account and Report of Guardian, (2) Petition for Its Settlement, for (3) Attorney Fees and Reimbursement of Costs Advanced (Prob. C. 2620, 2640, Local Rules 7.16A, CRC 7.750-7.752)

			Afforney Fees and Reimbursement of Rules 7.16A, CRC 7.750-7.752)	
Age	e: 8		MARK L. CLARK, Father and	
			Guardian of the Estate, is Petitioner.	
	nt. from 111412, 2313, 020813	120512,	Account period: 4-21-11 through 4-30-12	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Accounting: \$63,498.34	
>	Verified		Beainnina POH: \$62,466.08	
>	Inventory		Enaing POH: \$5/,22/.20	
	PTC		(\$1,025.20 cash, remaining funds equities and taxable bonds;	
	Not.Cred.		account blocked)	
~	Notice of Hrg		accoon blocked,	
ř	Aff.Mail	W	Conservator: Not addressed	
	Aff.Pub.		40.544.50	
	Sp.Ntc.		Attorney: \$2,546.50	
-	Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen		Costs: \$460.50	
-	Letters	4-21-11	CO313. \$\pi_00.00	
Ė	Duties/Supp	4-21-11	Petitioner prays for an Order:	
	Objections Objections			
	Video		Settling and allowing this account and report and	
	Receipt		approving and confirming	
	CI Report		the acts of Petitioner as	
>	2620(c)		Guardian;	
~	Order			
			Authorizing payment of the attorney fees and costs;	
			Such other orders as the Court deems proper.	
			Attorney Lisa Horton filed a Declaration on 1-18-13. See Page 2.	
	Aff. Posting			

Status Rpt

UCCJEA

Citation

FTB Notice

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

Note: There are 2 matters on the 9:00 calendar:

3A-continued hearing on the account

3B-status hearing re: receipt for blocked account

There is also a separate hearing scheduled at 10:30 re: Surcharge.

Minute Order 12-5-12: The Court indicates to counsel that it is concerned with whether or not there is an obligation to surcharge Mark Clark. The Court continues the matter to 1/23/13 for further hearing on the First Account. The issue of surcharge to be addressed at the next hearing. Continued to 1-23-13

Minute Order 1-23-13: The Court orders that all non-bond assets be liquidated and placed in a blocked. The Court will entertain an order shortening time, If there are any issues regarding fees for liquidation. Ms. Sanoian is directed to submit documentation regarding any losses. The Court on its own motion sets the matter for a two hour hearing on the issue of surcharge for 3/5/13. Continued to 2/8/13; Set on 2/8/13 for: Status Hearing Re: Receipt for the Blocked Account. (See Page 3B.) Additional hearing dates: 3/5/13 @ 10:30A Dept. 303 for: Hearing Re: Surcharge

Examiner notes previously noted:

 Guardianship Estate funds are held in a blocked account as ordered; however, Petitioner states the account consists of cash, equities, and taxable bonds. The account incurred a loss in this first account period of \$1,955.14, which was more than the receipts from dividends, etc., during this period.

Examiner notes that the Court order does not appear to include authorization of these types of investments.

Need clarification with reference to Petitioner's duty to manage the estate in interest-bearing, insured accounts (Probate Code §2453, Duties, etc.).

The Court may also require bond, including cost of recovery pursuant to Probate Code §2320(c)(4) and Cal. Rules of Court 7.207, of \$64,085.41 as a blocked account does not protect from losses on investments.

Reviewed by: skc

Reviewed on: 2-27-13

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 3A - Clark

3A Andrew Wendell Clark (GUARD/E)

Page 2

Declaration of Lisa Horton in Support of the First Account and Report of Guardian states:

• The Court asked how the investments complied with the requirements of §2574, and why there was a loss if the assets were ordered to be deposited in a blocked, interest-bearing, insured account per §2453.

Case No. 10CEPR01110

- Ms. Horton had previously informed Eric Stine, Vice President of Wedbush, via email on 11-8-12 and telephone
 on 11-13-12 that the court has several issues with the guardianship estate assets and the loss of value on those
 assets. She asked Mr. Stine to draft a letter explaining the nature of the account and investments and address
 the loss to provide an explanation to the Court at the next hearing on 12-5-12. However, a letter was never
 received.
- At the 12-5-12 hearing date, the matter was continued to 1-23-13 for further explanation and the possibility of surcharge against the guardian.
- Attorney Horton states that on or about 6-7-11, she provided Wedbush a copy of the guardianship order and stated that the account must be in compliance with Probate Code §2574. The receipt for blocked account was signed by the sales office supervisor at Wedbush on 9-1-11. Wedbush was aware that the guardianship assets were to be deposited and invested pursuant to both Probate Code §§ 2453 and 2574. Attorney Horton personally spoke with Eric Stine on 4-6-11 and he told her that he has six guardianship accounts with Wedbush and was familiar with the Probate Code and requirements. However, it was subsequently discovered that guardianship account does not comply with both sections.
- Despite her attempts, Eric Stine will not discuss the account with Attorney Horton any longer and did not provide his counsel's information as requested.
- An analysis of the account shows \$29,000.00 in taxable bonds. §2574 authorizes investments in direct obligations of the United States maturing no later than five years from the investment. According to bank statements, the bonds in the account mature in 2016, 2017, and 2018. As the initial date of investment was 2011, there are two sets of bonds that do not mature within five years of the investment.
- The other portion of the account is made up of various equities. The fact that the account is subject to a blocking order does not completely negate §2574. If an account is blocked, then there are no withdrawals or deposits without court order. §2453 requires interest-bearing, insured account. This does not prevent a guardian from investing pursuant to §2574.
- Attorney Horton believes any assets not invested in bonds per §2574 must be placed into an interest-bearing, insured account. Although §2574 allows for investment in "securities listed on an established stock or bond exchange", without the ability to buy, sale, trade or liquidate pursuant to the blocking order, securities could not effectively be managed.
- Attorney Horton believes the best way to bring this guardianship account into compliance is to liquidate the
 equities and deposit the proceeds into a blocked CD with an insured financial institution. The taxable bonds
 should be kept as is to prevent unnecessary losses, and as they mature, the proceeds should be deposited into
 the blocked account with the other funds.
- The guardian relied in good faith on the representations of Eric Stine and Wedbush. Attorney Horton believes that a surcharge order against the guardian is not necessary as Wedbush did not comply with the court's order.

Status Report filed 2-5-13 states on 1-30-13, a letter was sent via mail and email to Eric Stine at Wedbush. An email response was received the same day stating that court order or power of attorney is required for trading authorization from the attorney. On 1-31-13, Guardian Mark Clark communicated that he is informed and believes there will be no fees associated with liquidation of non bond assets, but that Wedbush requires court order. Order After Hearing for the 1-23-13 hearing was filed 2-8-13.

Sanoian, Joanne (for Mark L. Clark – Father – Guardian – Petitioner)
Status Hearing Re: Receipt for the Blocked Account

Age	e: 8	MARK L. CLARK, Father and Guardian of the	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		Estate, filed his First Account for Account period: 4-21-11 through 4-30-12.	Continued from 2-8-13
Cor	nt. from 020813	At continued hearing on 1-23-13, the Court ordered that all non-bond assets be	The following issue remains:
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	liquidated and placed in a blocked account and set this status hearing re Receipt.	Need receipt for blocked account per minute order 1-23-
	Inventory		13.
	PTC	Minute Order 1-23-13: The Court orders that all	
	Not.Cred.	non-bond assets be liquidated and placed in a blocked. The Court will entertain an order	
	Notice of Hrg	shortening time, If there are any issues regarding fees for liquidation. Ms. Sanoian is	
	Aff.Mail	directed to submit documentation regarding	
	Aff.Pub.	any losses. The Court on its own motion sets	
	Sp.Ntc.	the matter for a two hour hearing on the issue of surcharge for 3/5/13. Continued to 2/8/13;	
	Pers.Serv.	Set on 2/8/13 for: Status Hearing Re: Receipt	
	Conf. Screen	for the Blocked Account. Additional hearing dates: 3/5/13 @ 10:30A Dept. 303 for: Hearing	
	Letters	Re: Surcharge	
	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		
	Video Receipt		
	CI Report		
	2620(c)		
	Order		
	Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt	-	Reviewed on: 2-27-13
	UCCJEA Citation	-	Updates: Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		File 3B - Clark
	I ID HOICE		THE 3D - CIGIR

Sanoian, Joanne (for Mark L. Clark – Father – Guardian – Petitioner) Hearing Re: Surcharge

T	rieding ke. Suchdige					
	MARK W. CLARK, Father, is Guardian of the	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:				
	Estate.					
		This matter is set at 10:30 a.m.				
	Guardian filed an accounting on 10-1-12. At					
	continued hearing on 1-23-13, the Court set	Note: There are 2 matters on the 9:00 calendar:				
A# Cb \A#L	this special hearing regarding the issue of					
Aff.Sub.Wit.	surcharge.	3A-continued hearing on the account				
Verified		3B-status hearing re: receipt for blocked				
Inventory		account				
PTC		This hearing scheduled at 10:30 re: Surcharge				
Not.Cred.		was set separately pursuant to Minute Order				
Notice of		1-23-13.				
Hrg						
Aff.Mail						
Aff.Pub.						
Sp.Ntc.						
Pers.Serv.						
Conf.						
Screen						
Letters						
Duties/Supp						
Objections						
Video	1					
Receipt						
CI Report	1					
9202	1					
Order	-					
Aff. Posting	4	Reviewed by: skc				
Status Rpt	-	Reviewed on: 2-27-13				
UCCJEA	-	Updates:				
Citation	-	Recommendation:				
FTB Notice	-	File 1 - Clark				

3C

Case No. 11CEPR00918

Byrum C. & Wanda H. Bingham Trust (Trust)

Boyett, Deborah K. (Guardian Ad Litem for Wanda H. Bingham)

Petition for Compensation of Guardian Ad Litem and Reimbursement of Costs [Prob. C. 1003(c)]

			DEBORAH K. BOYETT, Court appointed Guardian	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			Ad Litem for Wanda H. Bingham, is Petitioner.	
	Aff Code Vall		Petitioner was court appointed as Guardian Ad Litem for Mrs. Bingham on 2-23-12 and continues to serve in that role.	
_	Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified		On 7-16-12, the Court entered its order fixing and	
Ě	Inventory		allowing attorney fees for \$7,633.00 for services	
	PTC		rendered from 2-23-12 through 6-12-12. That	
	Not.Cred.		compensation has been received.	
~	Notice of Hrg		Since that date, Petitioner has performed services	
~	Aff.Mail	W	including multiple communications and meetings	
	Aff.Pub.		with Wells Fargo Bank as Trustee, Randy Grace as	
	Sp.Ntc.		Co-Trustee, Attorneys Leigh Burnside for Wells Fargo Bank, Robert Sullivan for Randy Grace,	
	Pers.Serv.		David Roberts for Joan St. Louis (successor	
	Conf. Screen		conservator), Paul Chambers (Mrs. Bingham's	
	Letters		estate planning attorney), and Mrs. Bingham.	
	Duties/Supp		Petitioner has reviewed multiple items of correspondence regarding the trust and	
	Objections		allocation of Co-Trustees' responsibilities,	
	Video		statements and reports provided by Wells Fargo,	
	Receipt		reviewed court filings related to 2012 gifting and	
	CI Report		creation of the Wanda Bingham Irrevocable Trust,	
_	9202 Order		and attended the court hearing on the same. Itemization attached.	
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt		Petitioner requests payment from the Byram C.	Reviewed on: 2-27-13
	UCCJEA		and Wanda H. Bingham Family Trust for 19.10 hours, including preparation of this petition, and an	Updates:
	Citation		estimated 1 hour to attend this hearing, at \$250.00/hour, plus costs of \$482.73, for a total of	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 4 - Bingham
			\$4,525.00.	